

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/590,661	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAYASHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> David J. Steadman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1656	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) David J. Steadman.

(2) Tu A. Phan.

**Date of Interview:** 3 April 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: draft examiner's amendment.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
NONE

Claims discussed:  
NONE

Prior art documents discussed:  
NONE

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
A draft examiner's amendment was provided to applicant (see attached copy) and applicant accepted the claims in the draft amendment.

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/David J. Steadman/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656

**Status of Application:** RCE filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** approximately 3 PM

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)